

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LUCIOUS WILSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

K. FRANCESCHI, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:23-cv-01901-KJM-DMC-P

ORDER

Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided by Eastern District of California local rules.

On March 8, 2024, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations, which were served on the parties, and which contained notice that the parties may file objections within the time specified therein. No objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed.

Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the findings and recommendations was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

////

1 The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United*
2 *States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are
3 reviewed de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) (“[D]eterminations
4 of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate]
5 court . . .”). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be
6 supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

7 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

- 8 1. The findings and recommendations filed March 8, 2024, ECF No. 10, are
9 adopted in full.
- 10 2. This action is DISMISSED without prejudice for lack of prosecution and
11 failure to comply with court rules and orders.
- 12 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment and close this file.

13 DATED: April 29, 2024.

14 
15 _____
16 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28